24

25

Ca<del>se 1:04-cv-00325-SJM Document 154-2 Filed 04/27/2007 Page 1 of 3</del>

37

Q. And Count 2 was for breach of contract 1

- based on alleged violations of the exclusivity 2
- provision, correct? 3
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Is VEO seeking any money damages associated 5
- with its claim in Count 3 for intentional
- interference with contractual relations?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. What is the amount of financial damages? 9
- A. It's undetermined right now. 10
- Q. Can VEO identify any specific sales that 11
- were lost as a result of the alleged interference 12
- with contracts set forth in Count 3? 13
- A. We do know that there was a missed 14
- opportunity for a -- an alternative fuel boiler that 15
- Christian Power put together and missed opportunity 16
- by ways of them not representing our company any 17
- more, we weren't given that opportunity. It was for 18
- a large project that we -- we consider to be in that 19
- marketplace in a very competitive way and we weren't 20
- given that opportunity that he developed. 21
- Q. What was the alternative fuel? 22
- A. It was a project and I believe it was for 23
- Hereford [sic] ethanol. 24
- Q. Was that an HRSG? 25
  - A. Yes.

2

16

- Q. That project did not involve a Keystone
- boiler, did it? 3
- A. I don't know. 4
- Q. Can you identify any other potential 5
- damages experienced by VEO? 6
- A. I know that we sold -- we have an
- aftermarket parts and sales group at Victory Energy 8
- and, of course, since Christian Power is not our rep 9
- any longer, we don't have the ability to sell parts 10
- in that territory and had he still been the rep, we
- definitely would have. 12
- Q. Can you quantify in any way the lost sales 13
- associated with the alleged inability to sell parts
- in the territory? 15
  - A. I can't at this point.
- Q. Can you identify any other alleged 17
- financial damages experienced by VEO under Count 3? 18
- A. The only other one would be -- give me one 19
- second. The only other missed opportunities were 20
- through a company called PSI Power Systems in 21
- Michigan and the termination of their representative 22
- agreement with us did not provide us the ability to 23
- offer proposals for a period of time. 24
- Q. Can you identify any specific sales that 25

- were lost as a result of PSI no longer being a sales
- 2 ren?

5

7

12

16

3.8

1

2

4

- The Michigan project we know. 3
- 4 Q. Anything else?
  - A. I believe the Notre Dame project through
- interference with -- with Indeck and IKE. 6
  - Q. Anything else?
- A. No, not right now. 8
- Q. As VEO's authorized representative, can you 9
- identify a specific amount of money damages 10
- associated with Count 3? 11
  - A. I believe we're going to leave that to
- expert testimony. 13
  - Q. Has VEO retained an expert to quantify
- 14
- damages under Count 3? 15 I don't believe we have at this point.
- Q. Has VEO undertaken any attempt at 17
- quantifying its money damages under Count 3? 18
- A. I think it's an ongoing process right now. 19
- Q. Has it undertaken any attempt to the 20
- present to quantify money damages under Count 3? 21
- MR. SHEEAN: Objection, asked 22
- 23 answered.
- A. I don't have anything else to add to that. 24
- Q. (By Mr. Gisleson) Pardon me? 25

40

39

- A. I don't have anything else to add.
- Q. Can you identify anything that VEO has done
- in an effort to quantify damages under Count 3? 3
  - MR. SHEEAN: Objection, asked and
- 5 answered.
- A. Other than with our attorney, no. 6
  - Q. (By Mr. Gisleson) Is VEO seeking any
- damages under Count 4 of its counterclaim? 8
- 9 A. No.
- MR. SHEEAN: Let's take a break. 10
- 11 (break was taken)
- A. I need to correct one of my last 12
- statements. I was looking at Count 5 when you were 13
- talking about Count 4. The pages kind of came 14
- together but -- so we do, yes. 15
- Q. (By Mr. Gisleson) What is the claim in 16
- 17 Count 4?

18

- A. Count 4 is violation of California business
- and professional code. 19
- Q. What are the money damages that VEO seeks? 20
- Money damages are anything that's been sold 21
- from Christian Power for the termination of his
- representative agreement with us to present day time. 23
  - Q. Can you quantify that in any way as you sit
- here today as VEO's authorized representative?

24

41

- A. I know Alan had mentioned to us that it was 1
- worth well north of 150, \$150,000 a year to them. 2
- Q. That Alan Christian's business? 3
- A. That would he profited from that, and so
- profits brought to the company would be in excess of 5
- that. 6
- Q. Alan Christian told VEO that his profits 7
- exceeded \$100,000 from his aftermarket parts 8
- business? 9
- A. Yes. And also during the period of time 10
- Alan hasn't been a rep of ours, we have not had 11
- opportunities to sell projects in his territory. He 12
- was successful for a project with us through, you
- know, several hours and days of preparation and
- bidding on the King County project. So there were 15
- several projects that probably didn't get opportunity 16
- to bid on. 17
- Q. Can you identify the projects for which VEO 18
- did not have an opportunity to bid? 19
- There was I know a couple of projects that 20
- he was working on with a large engineering firm. His 21
- territory name escapes me right now. I can't think
- of the name of him at the moment. SNC Lavalin, 23
- SOTTV. 24
- Q. Any others? 25
- A. Not that I know of right now. 1
- Q. VEO, in fact, submitted a bid to SNC 2
- Lavalin, didn't it? 3
- A. We had in the past. 4
- Q. Was this a new project for SNC Lavalin? 5
- A. I'm not sure. I don't remember. 6
- Q. Had VEO ever obtained a contract from any
- of its prior proposals to SNC Lavalin? 8
- A. No. 9
- Q. So that VEO had no prior experience getting 10
- a contract from SNC Lavalin; is that correct? 11
- 12
- Q. Can VEO quantify its money damages 13
- associated with Count 4? 14
- A. Not at this time. 15
- Q. Alan Christian of CPI had a sales rep 16
- agreement that permitted him to terminate at any time 17
- for any reason; is that correct? 18
- A. CPE? 19
- Q. CPE? 20
- A. Yes. 21
- Q. Are there any other bases for damages that 22
- VEO can identify under Count 4? 23
- A. Not that I can recall right now. 24
- Q. Is VEO seeking damages under Count 5? 25

- A. I don't believe so at this time. 1
- Q. Are there any other damages under any claim 2
- that VEO is seeking from IKE?
- A. I'm not aware of any more at this
- particular time. 5
- Q. Under Item 23, that is VEO's use and/or
- attempted acquisition and/or use of the Iron [sic]
- City Ironworks name or mark. Do you see that?
- A. Erie City Ironworks? 9
  - Q. Yes.

10

42

- A. Is that what you mean? Yes. 11
- Q. Has VEO ever utilized the name Erie City 12
- Ironworks? 13
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Does VEO claim ownership in any way to the 15
- name Erie City Ironworks? 16
- 17
- Q. Has VEO ever attempted to register the name 18
- Erie City Ironworks? 19
- A. I believe we were, yes. 20
- 21 Q. When?
- I don't want to speculate. I'm not sure. 22
- It was probably a year or two ago. 23
- Q. April 2004? 24
- 25 A. I don't know.
  - Q. Why did VEO seek to register the Erie City
  - Ironworks name?
  - A. As I said yesterday, this was during the
- time when as we moved forward in the attempt to
- purchase the Keystone technology, we just thought
- that this would be a good -- a good name to use
- possibly. It was abandoned and -- but we decided --
- decided against it. We've never used it since then.
- Q. Why did VEO believe that Erie City
- Ironworks was a good name to use? 10
- A. It was along with the same lines of 11
- bringing a boiler back off the shelf, the old retro,
- if you will, concept of the boiler being an old
- boiler and just keeping along with that. 14
- Q. Did Erie City Ironworks have an established 15
- reputation in the marketplace? 16
- A. I don't know. 17
  - Q. Did you believe that people who were
- 18 knowledgeable concerning boilers knew the Erie City
- ironworks name? 20
- A. Some people may have, yes. 21
- Q. How did you learn of the name? 22
- A. I learned of the name when I worked at 23
- Indeck and I heard, you know, through several -- I
- believe even in the Keystone brochure it leads on to

43